UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/646,995	09/25/2000	071308.0945 (1998P01421WO	2572			
86528 King & Spaldin	7590 07/23/200 g LLP	9	EXAMINER			
401 Congress A		HAMILTON, LALITA M				
Suite 3200 Austin, TX 787	01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/23/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			09/646,995	j	STEIN, KARL-ULRICH				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Lalita M. Ha	amilton	3691				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months. patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, or	TE OF THI 6(a). In no ever Il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 5	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>23 Ma</i>	rch 2009						
•		2b)⊠ This a		n-final					
<i>/</i> —		<i>/</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)🛛 C	claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are pending in the a	application.							
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election re	quirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are			Tobiected to by the I	Examiner.				
-	pplicant may not request that any obje		-	-					
						FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice (3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

The RCE filed on March 23, 2009 has been processed. A non-final follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teper (5,815,665) in view of Metke (5,602,905).

Teper discloses the invention substantially as claimed (col.5, line 6 to col.6, line 33); however, Teper does not disclose an internet-access-request or mobile internet protocol. Metke teaches internet-access-request that may be used anywhere (col.1, line 55 to col.2, line 30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Metke within Teper to provide a cashless pay-as-you-use system that may be utilized anywhere.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,995

Page 4

Art Unit: 3691

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691